

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 4:08CR3139
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER ON SENTENCING SCHEDULE
)	
JUAN MARCOS LUCAS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Pursuant to the Local Rules of Practice (see NECrimR. 32.1(b)), and the sentencing practices of this court more generally, and to set a schedule and a procedure for sentencing before the sentencing judge,

IT IS ORDERED that the following deadlines and procedures are set in this case:

1. **March 17, 2009:** Counsel's respective versions of the offense(s) to the probation office;
2. **April 7, 2009:** Financial information, restitution proposal and any chemical dependency/mental health evaluation to the probation office by counsel;
3. **April 21, 2009:** Initial presentence report to counsel by the probation office. Defense counsel will insure that (a) the defendant has had an opportunity to read the initial PSR, or, if the defendant is not fluent in English, that an interpreter has read it to the defendant, and (b) the defendant has had an opportunity to voice any objections to defense counsel, before defense counsel submits objections to the initial PSR;
4. **May 1, 2009:** Written objections by counsel to the initial presentence report to the probation officer;
5. **May 11, 2009:** Probation office's submission to the judge and counsel of initial or revised presentence report with changes, if any, responsive to counsels' objections, and, if needed, an addendum explaining the probation officer's position regarding any objections to the presentence report previously submitted by counsel;
6. **May 18, 2009:**
 - (a) Any proposals to the probation office for community service, community confinement, intermittent confinement or home detention;
 - (b) Motions to the court:
 - (1) for departure under the guidelines (including, but not limited to, motions by the government) ; and
 - (2) for deviation or variance from the guidelines as allowed by the Supreme Court's decision in United States v. Booker, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005) or its progeny;

(c) Counsel's filing and serving on all other parties and the probation officer a written statement of position respecting each of the unresolved objections to the presentence report, including the specific nature of each objection to the presentence report.

(d) If evidence is to be offered in support of or in opposition to a motion under subparagraph (b) of this paragraph or in support of or in opposition to an objection under subparagraph (c) of this paragraph 6, it must be: by affidavit, letter, report or other document attached to the statement of position or by oral testimony at the sentencing hearing. If oral testimony is desired, a request must be made in the statement of position and the statement of position must reveal (1) the nature of the expected testimony, (2) the necessity for oral testimony, instead of documentary evidence, such as affidavits, (3) the identity of each proposed witness, and (4) the length of time anticipated for presentation of the direct examination of the witness or witnesses. If a request for oral or documentary evidence is made by one party but not by the adverse party, the adverse party within five working days thereafter may make a responsive request for oral or documentary evidence, setting out details in the same manner as required by this paragraph 6(d).

(e) Objections should be supported by a brief if a substantial issue of law is raised. Motions for departure should be supported by a brief that explains why the departure from the guideline sentencing range is justified by normal guideline departure theory. Motions for deviation or variance from the guidelines as allowed under Booker or its progeny should be supported by a brief that explains why a sentence other than that called for under the guidelines is justified by a principle of law that is different in kind or degree from the normal principles of law applied under a strict application of the guidelines.

(f) It is expected that any objection first raised in a party's statement of position will be addressed at the judge's discretion, and that no consideration will be given to any sentencing factor first raised after the filing of the written statement.

7. **May 26, 2009:** Judge's notice to counsel of rulings, tentative findings, and whether oral testimony is to be permitted.

8. The following procedures shall apply to objections to tentative findings:

(a) A written objection to tentative findings is not required if no evidence will be offered in support of the objection to tentative findings and no new substantial issue of law will be raised in support of an objection to tentative findings. In such a case, an oral objection to the tentative findings may be made at the time of sentencing.

(b) A written objection to tentative findings is required if evidence will be offered in support of the objection to the tentative findings or if a new substantial issue of law is raised in support of the objection to the tentative findings. If evidence will be offered in support of the written objection to the tentative findings, the written objection shall include a statement describing why an evidentiary hearing is required, what evidence will be presented, and how long such a hearing would take. If a new substantial issue of law is raised in support of the written objection to tentative findings, such an objection shall be supported by a brief. Unless the court orders otherwise, a written objection to the tentative findings will be resolved at sentencing in such manner as the court deems appropriate.

(c) Any written objection to tentative findings shall be filed no later than five business days prior to the date set for sentencing. If that is not possible due to the lack of time between the issuance of tentative findings and the sentencing hearing, the written objection shall be filed as soon as possible but not later than one business day prior to sentencing.

9. **June 2, 2009 at 12:00 Noon**: Sentencing before Judge Richard G. Kopf.

The probation officer is directed to provide copies of any sentencing recommendation to counsel for the government and counsel for the defendant at the time the recommendation is submitted to the sentencing judge.

The dates in paragraphs 3 and 4 of this order may be altered by written notice to counsel and the judge by the probation office.

DATED this 17th day of March, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/ *David L. Piester*

David L. Piester
United States Magistrate Judge